






1. I am fully happy with the current billing scheme and the RIPE proposal for 2012. This whole discussion is useless, just save the time for better stuff.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		15.3%	33
some support		15.3%	33
neutral		18.5%	40
some opposition		28.7%	62
strong opposition		22.2%	48
answered question			216
skipped question			3











2. This whole discussion is useless, just save the time for better stuff. I don't really care what I'll have to pay. It's peanuts, anyways.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		7.4%	16
some support		13.0%	28
neutral		15.3%	33
some opposition		28.4%	61
strong opposition		35.8%	77
answered question			215
skipped question			4

3. We should be discussing the billing scheme for 2013 not the one for 2012. I need to now what I'll have to pay much earlier.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		27.8%	60
some support		25.5%	55
neutral		26.9%	58
some opposition		9.7%	21
strong opposition		10.2%	22
answered question			216
skipped question			3

4. IPv6 - Importance - I expect IPv6 to account for half my revenues in:

		Response Percent	Response Count
2011		1.0%	2
2012		7.2%	15
2013		15.3%	32
2014		17.7%	37
2015		16.3%	34
2016		17.7%	37
2017		3.3%	7
2018		4.8%	10
2019		1.0%	2
2020+		15.8%	33
answered question			209
skipped question			10






5. PI - PIs should be treated exactly as PA space. There is NO special fee for PI, PI is counted for billing purposes as PA space. If you choose "support", you will be supporting "Model 1" of the "RIPE NCC Proposed New Charging Scheme 2012", if you "oppose" you are in favor of "Model 2". see: <https://www.ripe.net/lir-services/ncc/gm/november-2011/ripe-ncc-proposed-new-charging-scheme-2012>

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		18.1%	28
some support		20.0%	31
neutral		31.6%	49
some opposition		13.5%	21
strong opposition		16.8%	26
answered question			155
skipped question			64






6. PI - Owner - A PI is either "sponsored" through a LIR in good standing, or the owner has to become a LIR on it's own.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		42.3%	66
some support		22.4%	35
neutral		25.6%	40
some opposition		5.1%	8
strong opposition		4.5%	7
answered question			156
skipped question			63






7. Small and Very Small LIRs - RIPE should provide special pricing for very small LIRs. These LIRs will typically hold a /22 or less.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		23.6%	37
some support		31.8%	50
neutral		20.4%	32
some opposition		13.4%	21
strong opposition		10.8%	17
answered question			157
skipped question			62






8. secondary resources - secondary resources, like ASN will be given for free to any qualifying member in good standing.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		37.6%	59
some support		22.9%	36
neutral		11.5%	18
some opposition		19.1%	30
strong opposition		8.9%	14
answered question			157
skipped question			62






9. LIR Training - Training for members in good standing should be free, like it was in past.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		44.6%	70
some support		19.7%	31
neutral		15.3%	24
some opposition		15.3%	24
strong opposition		5.1%	8
answered question			157
skipped question			62

10. Billing - Continuity - No steep jumps. A LIR having allocations equivalent to /16 should pay a bit more than a LIR having a /17.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		44.5%	69
some support		29.0%	45
neutral		13.5%	21
some opposition		6.5%	10
strong opposition		6.5%	10
answered question			155
skipped question			64






11. Billing - linear - A (single) IP address should have a price. An LIR with a /12 allocation uses 256 times the resources of an LIR with a /20. This should be reflected in the fee.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		30.3%	47
some support		27.7%	43
neutral		11.0%	17
some opposition		13.5%	21
strong opposition		17.4%	27
answered question			155
skipped question			64






12. Billing - price of an IP - An IPv4 address should have price. To cover all costs of RIPE just from this charge, we'd need EUR 0.02/(IP*year). This price will be a part of the membership fee. The value in the [...] is the total amount that RIPE would raise from this fee. Please select ALL prices that look acceptable to you.

		Response Percent	Response Count
EUR 0.000 - a /16 pays EUR 0 [EUR 0]		27.2%	40
EUR 0.001 - a /16 pays EUR 65 [EUR 800k]		29.9%	44
EUR 0.002 - a /16 pays EUR 130 [EUR 1600k]		29.9%	44
EUR 0.005 - a /16 pays EUR 330 [EUR 4M]		30.6%	45
EUR 0.01 - a /16 pays EUR 650 [EUR 8M]		34.0%	50
EUR 0.02 - a /16 pays EUR 1300 [EUR 16M]		47.6%	70
EUR 0.05 - a /16 pays EUR 3300 [EUR 40M]		34.0%	50
EUR 0.10 - a /16 pays EUR 6500 [EUR 80M]		16.3%	24
EUR 0.20 - a /16 pays EUR 13000 [EUR 160M]		11.6%	17
EUR 0.50 - a /16 pays EUR 33000 [EUR 400M]		8.2%	12
answered question			147
skipped question			72






13. Billing - IPv4 - The price of an IPv4 should promote conservation and should encourage LIR to return unused IPv4 space.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		30.8%	48
some support		30.1%	47
neutral		12.2%	19
some opposition		11.5%	18
strong opposition		15.4%	24
answered question			156
skipped question			63






14. Billing - transaction charge - Each request to RIPE related to new allocations or change of allocations of resources should cost.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		5.2%	8
some support		26.5%	41
neutral		14.8%	23
some opposition		23.9%	37
strong opposition		29.7%	46
answered question			155
skipped question			64






15. Flatfee - Each member pays exactly the same fee. The 2012 fee will be around EUR 2250.

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		7.2%	11
some support		14.4%	22
neutral		11.1%	17
some opposition		17.6%	27
strong opposition		49.7%	76
answered question			153
skipped question			66

16. RIPE 2012 - Members are assigned to classes pending, each class is assigned a different fee. Fee are from EUR 250 to EUR 15000. see: <https://www.ripe.net/lir-services/ncc/gm/november-2011/ripe-ncc-proposed-new-charging-scheme-2012>

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		12.3%	19
some support		32.5%	50
neutral		23.4%	36
some opposition		23.4%	36
strong opposition		8.4%	13
answered question			154
skipped question			65

17. Proposal wiwi - Fee is calculated from allocated IPv4 and IPv6 address space. A holder of (an aggregated) IPv4 /18 pays more than the holder of a /19. Fees will be approximately like this: /22 EUR 502 /21 EUR 904 /20 EUR 1308 /19 EUR 1716 /18 EUR 2132 /17 EUR 2565 /16 EUR 3031 /15 EUR 3562 /14 EUR 4224 /13 EUR 5148 /12 EUR 6597 /11 EUR 9094 /10 EUR 13688 /9 EUR 22477 /8 EUR 39654

		Response Percent	Response Count
strong support		27.3%	42
some support		37.7%	58
neutral		11.0%	17
some opposition		13.6%	21
strong opposition		10.4%	16
answered question			154
skipped question			65

18. Email - OPTIONAL

	Response Count
	37
answered question	37
skipped question	182

19. LIR id - OPTIONAL

	Response Count
	45
answered question	45
skipped question	174

20. Comments - OPTIONAL

	Response Count
	15
answered question	15
skipped question	204